







Book #280

Before the City Judge
of the City of Springfield
Charles H. Bell

John E. Lee

On this 19th day of July, 1874, I, the undersigned, Clerk of the Court, do hereby certify that the within and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original of the same as the same appears from the records of the Court.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the Court at Springfield, Massachusetts, this 19th day of July, 1874.

Before the City Judge
of the City of Plainfield
Charles H. Gill

State of New Jersey
Union County

John T. Lee

1874

- Feb 12 On proof of service of demand of payment of rent of tenancy by deft, I issued a summons directed to any Constable of the County commanding him to require John T. Lee, said defendant, to appear before me at my office on Front Street in the City of Plainfield on Feb 19 1874 at 10 O. M. if he had not removed from the premises described in said summons and show cause why possession of said premises should not be delivered to said plaintiff Charles H. Gill claiming the same.
- 12 Summ. delivered to Constable Jacob Lacey. who on this date returned the same served as appears by this endorsement. "I hereby certify that on the 12th day of February A. D. 1874 at his office in the City of Plainfield I served a summons of which the within is a copy on the defendant John T. Lee personally, by delivering the same to him leaving it with him, he at the same time warning the deft. to have the same read to him - Dated Feb 18 1874, Jacob Lacey, Constable.
- 19 By consent of parties, cause adj'd to March 5 1874 10.

State of New Jersey
Union County

Before the City Judge of
the City of Plainfield

Randolph Thorne

Susan M. Sanger and
Edward P. Sanger

In Debt

\$24.95

1874

- March 11 Issued summons & two copies to Constable Lacy
- " 11 " Served the within summons on the first named defendant personally at her residence March 11th 1874 by reading it to her and gave her a copy of the same and also left with her a copy for Edward P. Sanger her husband in the second named defendant not being found. ^{Jacob Lacy - Constable}
- 21 Plff and his counsel present. No appearance on the part of defendants. ^{And on reason assigned for their non-appearance} After watching Plaintiff was sworn as a witness in his own behalf and testified as follows I am the Plaintiff in this suit. I know the defendants. I here produce my books of account, on page 89 of the Day Book there is an account charged to Mrs Susan M Sanger amounting to \$19. at page 90 of the same book there is another account charged to her \$2.81. at page 97. there is another account for \$4.08 at page 101 there is another charge of 25¢ at page 117 there is an account for \$10⁸/₁₀₀ against her - The copy of these several accounts annexed to the State of Demand in this case is correct. On Nov 22nd 1873 there was a credit of \$12 for an old stove. Mrs Sanger herself brought these goods - These several accounts are for stoves, pipes and trimmings. The things were delivered to her at her residence and put up there by one for her use. I sold and delivered these things to her. The sum of \$24.95 with interest from Dec 20th 1873 is now due and owing to me. I know Edward P. Sanger and know him to be the husband of Susan M. Sanger, he resides at the place to which these articles were delivered put up. Whereupon I do render judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against the said defendants for the sum of twenty four dollars and

sep 4

State of New Jersey
Union County

Charles H. Gill

John T. Lee

1874

March 14

Issued summons, on proof of service of demand of payment of rent & tenancy by debt, directed to any Constable of the County Commanding him to require John T. Lee to appear before me at my office on Frank Street in the City of Plainfield on March 21 1874 at 10 A.M. If he did not remove from the premises described in said summons show cause why possession of said premises should not be delivered to said plaintiff Charles H. Gill claiming the same.

14 Constable Jacob Lacy makes the following return:
"I return the within summons & certify that on the 14th day of March A.D. 1874 at the City of Plainfield I personally served a copy of the within summons on John T. Lee by delivering the same to him personally leaving the same with him, he at the time waived the reading thereof."

21 Adjd at Defts request to March 28/74. 10 A.M.

Judges Costs	Sums.	25
Copy		10
Entry Suit		20
Discontinuance		20
Recording return		10
Bill of Costs		15

Constables serving notice 50

Sums 60,

\$ 4.00

March 25 Discontinued

From p. 2 Ninety five cents with interest from Dec 20 1873 amounting to forty three cents - total Twenty five dollars and thirty eight cents and three dollars and fifty cents costs - total - \$28.98

Issued Execution for to Constable
 March 21 The following is a full bill of the costs in this case

Issuing Summons	25
" " For Copy Summons	20
Entering suit	20
Recording return of Summons	10
One Oath on trial	10
Entering judgment	20
Issuing Execution	35
Recording return of Execution	15
Entering bill of Costs	15
Hearing cause	25
	<hr/> 1.95

Constables fees Serving Summons. 60

Copy - 30

Serving Execution

75
1.65

Before the City Judge
 Ayers Codrington, William McHabb Jr
 Charles Tappan, Daniel J. Somers
 late partners, as Codrington McHabb & Co
 vs
 Randolph Marsh
 D. H. Van Winkle
 Plffs Atty
 Ind. debt. \$15

1874

March 28 Issued summons to Jacob Lacy returnable April 2^d 1874 10 A. M.

28 Summons returned endorsed "I served the within summons on the defendant personally March 28th 1874 by reading it to him, and gave him a copy of the same. Jacob Lacy - Constable -

April 2 Adjd by Court to April 16th 10 A. M. Set of demand filed.

16 Plaintiffs appeared - Deft did not appear and no sufficient reason being assigned why he does not appear I proceeded to hear and determine the cause in his absence - Plffs offered Woodruff as a witness in support of their demand. The said witness being sworn gave his evidence; whereupon I do under judgment in favor of said plaintiffs against said defendant for the sum of six dollars and ninety-eight cents debt and three dollars and ten cents - costs -

May 19 At plffs request issued execution -

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD.

Masar J. Leland

Susan M. Sanger wife of } Indebth \$61.73
 Edmund P. Sanger and the
 said Edmund P. Sanger

1874-

May 16. Issued summons and two copies returnable
 Saturday May 23/74 - 10. A.M.

18 Sums. ret'd endorsed as follows "Union Co. ss. I send
 the within sums. on each of the debts therein named
 on the 18th day of May 1874 by reading the same to each of them
 and delivering to each of them a copy thereof. Mas J. Leland
 Clerk of the Court

23 Parties appeared & by debts consent adj'd to

29 Discontinued costs 85¢ paid —

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD.

Charles B. Clifton

John Van Winkle

against

Elizabeth Shotwell &
Thomas L. Shotwell her
Husband -

1874

May 7 On affidavit of plff issued attachment for \$46.²⁵/₁₀₀
27 writ returned indorsed "Attached in the hands of Sarah E. Mitchell by virtue of the return writ of attachment I have this day attached the monies, rights and credits of Elizabeth Shotwell and Thomas L. Shotwell her Husband to the amount of forty six dollars and 28 cents with all legal costs - May 27 1874 Jacob Lucy - Constable -

27 Exec'd June 18 1874 10 A.M. as the time for hearing this cause

June 18 10 A.M. Present. Plff + his counsel + Mrs Sarah E. Mitchell
Def't did not appear no reason being assigned why he does not appear I proceeded to hear + determine the cause in his absence -

Plff. offered himself as a witness in his own behalf & being duly sworn testified as follows, I am the plff. in this suit, the account annexed to the state of demand filed in this suit is a true copy from my books - The amount now due me from these debts is principal + interest \$49.50 - for goods sold + delivered as therein stated Plff. then called Mrs Sarah E. Mitchell who testified as follows after being duly sworn, I reside in the City of Plainfield, Am the wife of Joseph Mitchell - I am indebted to Mrs Elizabeth Shotwell in the sum of \$56.75 for interest on a mortgage of \$800. This mortgage was given May 27 1872 - It was term two years - The principal is now due. This money still remains in my possession due + unpaid from me to the def't Mrs Elizabeth Shotwell, she further testified that she knew of no reason whatever why the plaintiff herein should not have execution against her for the amount of his claim out of the money in her possession due

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD.

Thomas H. Tomlinson John Van Winkle
 Elizabeth Shotwell &
~~Mrs~~ Thomas L. Shotwell her husband

1874

May 25 On affidavit of plff. issue a attachment
 for \$30.00/100

27 Writ of "inducement" Attached in the hands of Sarah E.
 Mitchell May 27 - by virtue of the within writ of
 attachment I have this day attached the monies
 rights & credits of Elizabeth Shotwell and her husband
 Thomas L. Shotwell to the amount thirty dollars
 fifty cents with all legal cost, May 27. 1874
 Jacob Lacy constable

27 Fixed June 18. 1874 10 Am. when cause
 June 18. Plff this counsel present. No appearance for
 deft no reason being assigned for the non-appearance I
 proceeded to hear & determine the cause in this absence
 Plff. was sworn in his own behalf testified as follows
 I am a practicing physician in the City of Plainfield
 & was such at the time of the contracting of the debt
 for which this suit was brought. The account an-
 nexed to my state of demand was copied by me
 from my book of original entries & is correct.
 The debt was contracted by Mrs Elizabeth
 Shotwell & is still due & unpaid -

Plff then called Mrs Sarah E. Mitchell who
 by sworn testified as follows I am indebted to Mrs Eliz-
 abeth Shotwell in the sum of \$56.00 for interest on
 a mortgage of \$800, this mortgage was given
 two years from the 27th of May 1872; it is now due
 the money remains in my possession & is
 unpaid - I know of no reason whatever
 why the plaintiff should not have execution
 against this money for his claim

No further testimony being offered I give
 judgment for the plaintiff for the sum
 of thirty dollars and fifty cents debt
 and
 dollars
 costs.

For costs see page 7

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD

John Darling

John Van Winkle

Elizabeth Shotwell
and Thomas L. Shotwell her
Husband

1874

May 27

On affidavit of plaintiff issued attachment for \$1.00
289 writ returned indorsed - "By virtue of this writ I have
this day attached in the hands Sarah E. Mitchell
in the presence of some credible person the effects
monies, rights and credits of Elizabeth Shotwell
and Thomas L. Shotwell to the amount of
fifteen dollars and fifty cents with
all legal cost. May 29th 1874 Jacob Lucy Constable
Fried June 18th 1874 - 10 A.M. when cause

June 18 Off this counsel present. No appearance for deft
the reason being assigned for their non-appearance
I proceeded to hear & determine the cause in their
absence. Plaintiff's counsel testified in his own
behalf, that the copy of the account annexed
to this state of demand this day filed was
a correct copy from his books and that
the debts were indebted to him in the
sum of \$15⁰⁰/₁₀₀ as then stated -

Mrs Sarah E. Mitchell was next called
and testified under oath that she was indebted
to Mrs Elizabeth Shotwell in the sum of \$56
for interest on a mortgage of \$800, that this
mortgage bore date May 27th 1872 and was now due
unpaid and the money was in her possession
that she knew of no reason why plaintiff should
not have execution against the same for
the amount of his claim - As further testimony
being given I render judgment for the plaintiff
against the debts for the sum of Fifteen dollars
Fifty cents debts & dollars costs

In costs see page 7

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD

Daniel S. Berry &
 Alexander Thorne, partners
 doing business under the firm
 name of Berry & Thorne
 vs
 William Coriell Jr

In Debt
Demand \$91-

1874

June 15 Issued Sum. retble June 25/74 10 A. M.

17 Sum returned inclosed as follows "Union Co. p. I served the within summons on William Coriell Jr the deft- therein named on the 17 day of June 1874 by reading the same to him & delivering to him a copy thereof. E. D. Moffett, Constable

25 Adj'd at defts request to July 11/74 at 10 A. M.

July 11 Plffs appeared - Deft neither appeared in person nor by Attorney - Plffs filed state of demand & affidavit of correctness of account. Alfred Berry sworn as a witness for plffs testified as follows: I know plffs & deft. At the times mentioned in the state of demand plffs were partners in business under the firm name of Berry & Thorne. Between the dates set forth in said state of demand, plffs sold & delivered to the deft. at his request the articles set forth in the bill annexed to the affidavit this day made by me - and refused to pay the state of demand. The whole amount of said bill is now due and unpaid, whereupon I give judgment for the plaintiffs for the sum of Ninety dollars and twenty eight cents as damages with interest thereon from the 10th day of July 1874 and 3.25 costs, in all the sum of \$93.53

July 11/74 all the sum of \$93.53

Aug 15/74 Plffs settled for \$69-

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD

Daniel S. Berry &
 Alexander Thorn, partners
 doing business under the firm
 name of Berry & Thorn.
 vs
 Braddock Albertson

In Debt
 Demand - \$30

- 1874
- June 15 Issued Summons retble June 20/74 10 AM
- 17 Sum. returned indorsed as follows: "Union Co. for the said Braddock Albertson not being found I served the within Summons on Mrs Braddock Albertson, wife of the said Braddock Albertson on the 17 day of June 1874 by leaving a copy thereof at his house or place of abode in presence of Mrs Braddock Albertson wife of said Braddock Albertson over the age of 14 years, who was informed by me of the contents thereof."
- 25 Adjd at defts request to July 11/74 at 10 A.M. E. S. Thifflet, Clerk
- July 11 Plffs appeared. No appearance of deft - State of Demand filed & affidavit of correctness of account signed Berry sworn as a witness for plff. testified as follows - I know plff. and deft. At the times mentioned in the State of demand & plffs were partners in business under the firm name of Berry & Thorn. Between the dates set forth in said State of demand & plffs sold and delivered to the deft. at his request the articles set forth in the bill annexed to the affidavit made by me. The whole amount of said bill is now due & unpaid. Whereupon I give judgment for the plaintiffs for the sum of Twenty five & 88/100 dollars and Two dollars fifty seven cents as damages with interest thereon from the 10 day of February 1873 and \$3.25 costs & making in all the sum of \$31.70
- 12 Issued execution

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD

from 7 to Mrs E. Shotwell one of these debts.

June 18 On the evidence I give judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Forty nine dollars and fifty cents ~~and~~ and ~~two~~ dollars and thirty five cent.

Particulars of Costs -

Entering suit	.20 ⁰⁰
Drawing affidavits	.15
Taking " "	.25
Issuing writ of Attachment	.40
Recording return of writ -	.20
Issuing subpoena	.10
Administering two oaths mutual	.20
Entering judgment	.20
Issuing Execution	.35
Recording return of Execution	.15
Entering bill of costs	.15
Hearing Cause	.25
Witness fees	.50
	<hr/> 3.10

Constables fees

Serving attachment	.90 ⁰⁰
	.35
	<hr/> 1.25

3.10
1.25

4.35

Elias R. Pope, David
S. Pope and Howard S. Pope

against

Elozabeth Shotwell and
Thomas L. Shotwell her husband

\$29-

1874

July 2 On affidavit of David S. Pope issued writ

3 Delivered warrant to Constable Lacy

6 Attachment levied by Lacy -

6 Fixed August 3/74 as time of hearing

Aug. 3 Plff. appeared. No appearance for deft nor can
they assigned for his non-appearance.

Proceeded with cause. Plff. filed affidavits
to correctness of claim. Whereupon I
gave judgment for plffs for \$29-
claim of 2-2 for interest ~~after 95~~ ⁹⁵ cents -

13 Issued Execution to Constable Lacy for

Intg.

Intg. writ

.20

Drawing affdth

.15

Taking

.25

Issuing writ

.40

Recording writ's return

.20

Issuing Subpoena Intg. Judgment

.20

Issuing Execution

.35

Recording return of Execution

.15

Entering Bill of Costs

.15

Drawing affdth of claim

.15

Taking

.25

Hearing cause

.25

2.70

Constables fees

Serving Attachment

90

Issuing Notices

35

1.25

3.95

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD.

David J. Boice and
David Thickstun partners
in business in firm name
style of Boice & Thickstun -

vs
Charles A. Wallace

1874

July 3 Issued Summons retble July 13th 10 A.M.

3 Summs served by Amos Troffeth.

13 Adjd to 27th inst 10 A.M.

27 Present D. J. Boice with his counsel. Dft did not appear & no sufficient reason appearing a being assigned why he did not appear I proceeded to hear & determine the case in his absence David J. Boice being duly sworn testified as follows. I am one of the firm of Boice & Thickstun the above named plaintiffs. Boice & Thickstun were partners in trade between April 3rd and May 1. 1874. Between such dates as each partners they sold and delivered to and for defendant Charles A. Wallace, the merchandise in the state of demand in this suit, amtg. \$17. This amt of \$17- is due & the whole of it is unpaid. Original book of entries produced -

On this evidence & the pleadings I give judgment for the plaintiffs against said defendant for the sum of seventeen dollars with interest from May 1. 1874 amtg to twenty three cents making total damages seventeen dollars & twenty three cents and three dollars & thirty cents, what

$\$20.30/00$
Summons 25. copy - 10 - enty amt 20.

55-

Costs on trial 10. enty. judg - 20. adjournmt 20

.50

Uncontested trial 25 issuing execution 35

.60

Enty. bill of costs 15. Enty return of execution 15

1.30
1.95

Constables fees serving summons \$.60. serving execution, 75c

1.35

3.30

THE CITY COURT OF PLAINFIELD.

John M. Schorb

against

Elizabeth Shotwell +

Thomas L. Shotwell

\$12⁰⁰/₁₀₀

1894

July 11 On affidavit of plff. issued writ of Attachment

16 writ ret. ² some served July 15/74 -

" Appointed Aug 10/74 10 A.M. as term of the court

10 Adjd to Aug. 12/74

12 Plff. appeared, no appearance for deft + no cause
being assigned for his non appearance proceed
with cause - Plff. filed affidavit as to correct-
ness of claim. Whereupon I gave judgment
to plff. for twelve and ¹²/₁₀₀ dollars as principal
and ¹²/₁₀₀ dollars as interest and ^{five}/₁₀₀ dollars
+ ^{five}/₁₀₀ cents as costs - that \$17.38

13 Issued execution for \$17-38

William A. Woodruff

Abraham C. Harris & Isaac
Harris, late partners under
the firm name of T.C. Harris & Brother.

In Debt

1874

July 3. Issued Summons. retble July 15/74. 10 A.M.

9 Officer ret'd Summons. endorsed "I served the within Summons on Isaac Harris one of the debts on the 9th day of July 1874 by reading it to him, & gave him a copy of the same. The within named Abraham Harris the other debt not being found in the County. Amos Moffett, Constable.

90 00

15 At 10 A.M. Plff & his counsel appeared. Deft did not appear. Plff filed State of Demand and affidavit under the Statute of 1873.

Whereupon I gave judgment for the plaintiff against the defendant for the sum of fifty three dollars & eighty two cents with interest from date amounting to five dollars & seventy two cents and costs amounting to three dollars & sixty one cents - that Sixty ^{three} dollars & fifteen cents.

Execution issued 1st May 1875. For Sixty three dollars & fifteen cents.

Execution returned in the following words. "I return the within execution finding no property whereon to levy to make the debt or cost or any part thereof. May 15. 1875."

"Amos Moffett.

Constable."

Demand 53.82

Interest 5.72

Costs 3.51

\$63.05

Exp

75

\$63.90

Noah W. Pike }
 John T. Lee }

1874

Aug 15 On affdt. of plff. issued sums. retble Aug 29/74
 10 A. M.

22 Sums. served

29 Adjo to Sept 7' 1874 10 A. M.

Sept 17 Adjo to Sept 14' 1874 10 A. M.

In the City Court of Springfield

Charles H. Gill

John T. Lee

1874

Aug. 15 On affdt of plff - issued summons. ret'd by
15 1874 10 A.M.

Aug. 15 At defts request adj'd to Aug. 24/74. 10 A.M.

24 Adj'd to Aug. 31. 1874 - 10 A.M.

31 Adj'd to Sept 7. 1874 10 A.M.

Sept 7 Adj'd to Sept 14 1874 10 A.M.

" 14 Plff. appeared; after waiting for half an hour
and deft not appearing & no sufficient cause
being assigned or shown for his non-appearance
I proceeded with the hearing of the case. The plff -
Charles H. Gill was sworn as a witness & testi-
fied that he had demanded the payment of the
rent of the premises described in the notice & affi-
davit in this case. That said rent had not
been paid - nor any part thereof & that the whole
amount thereof was due. On this affd evidence
and the affidavit of said plaintiff and the
proof of service of notice. I give judgment for
the plaintiff -

Samuel S. Verneul }
 Caleb Brokaw } In debt

1874
 Aug. 18

Received sum. settle Aug. 23/74. 10. 8. m.

The City Court of Plainfield

Moses H. Acken &
 Henry C. Tyres, partners
 in business in firm name
 of Acken & Tyres - } Debt on Book
 Account.
 or
 George W. Anglemann

1874

Aug 19. Issued summons return Aug. 25 - 10 A.M.
 Sums not personally served -

25. Adjd case to Sept 8, 74. 10 A.M.

Sept 8 - On affdt of speffs, deft not appearing from
 judgment for plaintiffs for \$98.35 damages
 and \$2.17 costs total \$100.52

Issued execution issued on the 8th Sept 1874 placed in
 Amos Moffett's hand.

Execution returned on the 5th day of October 1874
 finding no property belonging to the defendant
 whereon to levy to make the debt or cost or any
 part thereof.

Amos Moffett Constable.

S. D. Grinstead atty
 New Brunswick
 N. J.

Peter P. Good.
 City Judge.

The city Court of Plainfield.

S. H. Donnelly
vs

In debt.

Grace H. Tingley wife of
Jacob S. Tingley & the said
Jacob S. Tingley.

1874.

Oct 6.

Issued summons returnable 13th Oct 1874. 10. Am.

This case settled and cost paid.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

City Court of Plainfield.

Oliver Runyon
vs

In debt.

Martian W. Hill.

State of demand filed and Summons issued this 22nd October 1874. returnable on the 29th Octo 1874.

The plaintiff appeared this 29th Octo 1874 at the hour appointed. The defendant did not appear, therefore Judgment was given in favor of the Plaintiff for Seventy Seven dollars and fifty one cents together with interest.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

Bill of cost.

Issuing Summons	25.
Entering suit	20.
Entering copy of summons	20.
.. Judgment	25.
Filing paper	10.
Entering bill of particulars	15.
Hearing the cause	25.
Constable fees.	60.
	<u>\$200.</u>
Execution	35.

Execution issued this 2nd Nov. 1874

Placed in Amos Moffett's hand for collection

The return Ex. is returned to the City Court debt & cost being satisfied by Amos Moffett.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

City Court of Plainfield

Charles W. Hedges.

vs

In debt.

William H. Connell.

Summons issued on the 6th Nov. 1874. State of demand filed on the 6th Nov. 1874.

This case was settled and all demands & costs paid.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

City Court of Plainfield

Nathan Rogers & David W. Rogers
Partners in business under the firm
name & style of
N. Rogers & Son

In debt.

vs

Charles Stimpson

Summons issued and state of demand filed on the Twenty fifth day of November 1874. Service returned served personally ~~on~~ upon the defendant 25th Nov. 1874.

On the 30th day of Nov. 1874 appears Charles Stimpson the defendant and Gran Jones a freeholder a citizen & a resident of the County of Union and expressly waving the time of the return of said summons and acknowledging the sum of the debt of \$93.06. with interest thereon up to the entering of this judgment, hereby confers & consent judgment final to be rendered & entered against

\$93.16. up
to Nov. 1874

then the said Charles Stimpson and Evan Jones, the said Evan Jones joining in the said judgment in consideration that no execution shall be issued against the said Charles Stimpson until after six months from the time of rendering this judgment according to form of the ~~statute~~ in such cases made and provided, Costs paid by Dfr.

Peter P. Good.
City Judge.

No. 5. City Court of Plainfield.

Peter J. B. Nevins.

vs

In debt.

Lewis S. Jones.

Summons issued on 2nd December 1874, returnable on Tuesday 8th Dec 1874. at 10 o'clock Am.

State of demand on file.

Paid Amos Moffett 60 cts.

Judgment rendered ~~against~~ of the defendant for \$15.00. and cost \$2.60. total \$17.60.

Issued Execution 9th Dec 1874.

State of demand \$15.00.

Execution fees 1.82.

Execution returned and money paid over with costs to Peter J. B. Nevins by constable E. S. Moffett on the 27th December 1874.

Peter P. Good.
City Judge.

No. 6.

City Court of Plainfield.

Patrick Ledy.

vs

In debt.

Benjamin F. Magie.

Summons issued on 2nd Dec 1874, returnable on the
8th Dec 1874 at 10. Am. Paid Amos Moffett Cons. for S. Summons.
State of demand on file.

Judgment entered by default, & costs paid, \$1.85.

The amount of claim by the plaintiff is on a
promissory note with interest in the sum of \$70.60.

Costs 1.85.

Execution issued 2 Feby 1875.

1.25.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No. 7.

City Court of Plainfield

Berry & Thorne et al
vs

In debt.

William Winkel

Summons issued on the 4th Dec 1874.Returnable on the 9th Dec 1874 at 10 am.State of demand on file 4th Dec 1874.Adjourned until 16th Dec 1874. adjourned again until
December 23. 1874.

Berry & Thorne find costs \$2.00.

Amos Moffett's Constable fees find sixty cents.

This case was settled without coming to trial.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

City Court of Plainfield

nos.

The Treasurer of the city of
Plainfield

vs.

In case.

Isaac Brockway.

\$1.60

Summons issued this 9th Dec, returnable 15th Dec 1874. 10am

Said summons served by Officer Thompson.

State of demand filed 9th Dec 1874.

Adjourned at 2. P. M. 23rd Dec 1874.

This case commenced on the hour of adjournment,
Wm B. Maxson appeared for the defendant, & the
corporation counsel for the city.

After hearing the case Judgment was rendered in
favor of the Plaintiff. The Treasurer of the city of
Plainfield in the sum of one hundred dollars &
seventy-two cents, according to the following items viz,

\$81.53 State of demand

14.69. Interest to 23rd Dec 1874.

2.70. Court costs.

70. Serving two Subpoenas

1.00. Witness fees.

\$100.72.

attest 23rd Dec 1874.

Peter P. Good.

city Judge.

City Court of Plainfield.

No. 9.

John M. Scholt.

vs

The debt.

George W. Roach.

Summons issued on the 15th and returnable on the 21st December 1874. at New o'clock.

Officer Thompson made a return.

State of demand on file.

1.60

George W. Roach the defendant appeared on the return day and confessed judgment for the full amount of the state of demand on file.

\$7.33 Bill.

1.60 cost

25. To return, Trng.

75 Hearing, care.

15. Bill of costs.

\$10.08

3 Yrs interest from 211

\$12.19

21st Dec 1874 to 11th Feb 1878

Execution issued 11th Feb 1878.

~~Notes~~ City Court of Plainfield.

Pope Bros.

vs

In debt Attachment.

Horace Kimball.

Demand filed 19th Dec 1874.

\$19.21.

Attachment served by constable

96. interest

Elmore Moffett 19th Dec 1874.

1.85. Cost.

This case was settled by the defendant and all
Costs paid.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

Paid Elmore Moffett 23rd Feb 1875. ninety cents for
Serving execution in the above case against Horace Kimball

1.11.86

Nov.

City Court of Plainfield.

Moses H. French

vs

In debt.

Perine Huff.

John W. Van Winkle Pet's atty.

Summons issued 23rd December 1874. returnable
6th January 1875 10 am.

The plaintiff appeared at the hour, the defendant
made no appearance and judgment entered by
default for the amount of Twenty two dollars and
eighty six cents and two dollars & twenty five cents
costs.

State of demand \$22.86.

Costs

2.25.

\$25.11.

The foregoing judgment rendered this 6th January 1875
by

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

E. S. Moffet Constable fees & costs paid.

Execution issued against Perine Huff this 2nd July 1875

The above judgment and cost was paid 4th June 1876
Peter P. Good. City Judge.

No 12. City Court of Plainfield.

Shaw & Vandeverter et.

vs.

In debt.

James W. King.

Summons issued on the 26th December 1874.
returnable 7th January 1875. Amos Moffett Constable.

Adjourned until 14th January 1875. 10 am.

The plaintiffs appeared at the hour of adjournment, the defendant did not put in appearance. The plaintiffs swore to their account, there being no other evidence in the case, I give judgment in favor of the plaintiffs for Twenty five dollars and eighty three cents which includes the interest up to the day of Judgment besides costs.

State of demand \$24.78.

Interest to 14 Jan 1875. 1.05

\$25.83

Serving summons 25.

1 copy thereof 10.

Entering suit 20.

Recording summons 10.

Serving Pet 10.

Entering Judgment 25.

Bill of Particulars 15.

1 adjournment 20.

Hearing case 25.

Execution 35.

Recording return 15.

Constable serving summons 60.

Serving Execution 75

\$29.28

Entering state of demand 20.

\$29.48

Entered judgment for Twenty nine dollars & forty eight cents including state of demand interest and costs.

14 January 1875.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

Execution returned and the state of demand with costs paid this 8th day of July 1875. P. P. Good City Judge.

25.83

2 30

1 35

\$29.48

3.65

No 13.

City Court of Plainfield.

Ephraim W. South.

against

In debt.

Charles D. Heyder.

Summons issued 15th March 1875. returnable on Tuesday 23rd March 1875 at two o'clock in the afternoon.

The plaintiff appeared at the hour, the defendant did not put in an appearance.

The plaintiff affirmed to his account forty one dollars and sixty cents and judgment was given for forty one dollars and sixty cents together with costs for this action by default.

State of demand \$41.66.

Costs 1.60.

Constable fees 60.

Issuing Exp. 35.

Recording return of Exp 15.

Execution issued 26th March 1875.

Edmore Moffett paid \$5. on account 15th April 1875.

No 14. City Court of Plainfield.

Henry H. Louie
vs

Benjamin F. McKeage.

Summons issued 16th April 1875. returnable Thursday 22nd April 1875. 10. Am. Served the above summons by E. S. Moffett Constable.

The plaintiff's & defendant's attys met at the hour of 10. am and adjourned it again until 28th April 1875 10. am.

The plaintiff appeared with his atty at the hour of adjournment, the defendant did not put in any appearance consequently I gave judgment in favor of the plaintiff in the sum of Fifty nine dollars & eighty seven cents the amount set forth in his state of demand.

State of demand	\$59. 87.	
Issuing summons.	25.	
Copy	10.	
Entering suit	20.	
Administering his oaths	20.	
Entry of Judgment	20.	
Recording return of Ex	15.	
Filing two papers	20.	
Entering bill of particulars	15.	
One adjournment	20.	
Hearing case not contested	25.	1.90.
Constable serving summons	60.	
" " Ex	75.	1.35.

28th April 1875. Judgment & cost, \$62.12

The entire state of demand and all costs paid this 10th May 1875.

Peter P. Good.
City Judge.

No 15.

City Court of Plainfield.

John J. Roll, Petf.

In debt.

Grace A. Dingley, Dfr.

The summons issued on the 18th May returnable on 25th May 1875. Amos Moffett served summons State of demand on file.

Adjourned until 9th June 1875. 10. Am.

The parties to the suit appeared at the hour of adjournment and the case proceeded to trial.

John J. Roll the plaintiff was sworn and testified to his bill.

Grace A. Dingley, Jacob Dingley, Mr Chandler, & Mr Mitchell were each affirmed as to the content & the worth of the labor.

I give judgment in favor of the plaintiff for Twenty three dollars and fifty cents.

Judgment	\$23.00.
Summons	25.
Copy ..	10.
Entering suit	20.
Reading summons	10
Swearing & witnesses	50.
Entering Judgment	20.
Filing two papers.	20.
Bill of particulars	15.
Hearing case	75.
Constable fees	60
	<u>\$26.55.</u>

Peter P. Good.

9th June 1875.

City Judge

Issued Execution on the above 3rd Sept 1875 placed it in the hands of Amos Moffett, Constable.

I return the within Execution to Peter P. Good City Judge Satisfied for both debt and cost. Sept 23rd 1875.

Amos Moffett.

Constable.

No 16.

James M. Dunn.

vs

In debt.

Leroy W. Hill.

Martin W. Hill. Hts.

The summons issued on the 28th Sept 1875. served personally
on the defendants 28th Sept. 1875. by P. Breen.
Returnable 5th October 1875. 10 am.

The plaintiff appeared at the 10 am on the return day of
the summons. The defendants did not make any appearance
therefore, I render judgment by default, in favor of the plaintiff,
for the sum of Seventy two dollars and seventy four cents with
interest from 9 June 1875.

Summons. 25.

Each copy 10.

Entering suit. 20.

Recording summons 10.

Administering oath 10.

Entering Judgment 20.

Filing two papers 20.

Bill of particulars 15.

Hearing case 25.

Constables fees 90. 245

State of demands 72.74.

\$75.19.

Peter P. Good. City Judge.

No. 17.

city court of Plainfield.
 Ann E. Mundy. P^{ty}.
 vs In deb.
 Martha Barnes.
 D^{ft}.

Summons was issued from this court on the 1st Oct & returnable on the 5th Oct. 1875. at the hour of 10 am.

"Served the within summons on the said defendant this first day of October 1875 personally by reading it to her & giving her a copy of the same."

E. S. Moffett.

Constable.

The case was adjourned until Monday 18th October 1875. when all interested parties appeared in the case.

Mr John T. Lee appeared for the Plaintiff and Mrs B. Maxson for the D^{ft}.

The plaintiff had two witnesses sworn in their behalf and the D^{ft} was the only person who testified in her behalf.

I give judgment to the plaintiff the Sum of Thirty five dollars together with cost Two dollars & Seventy five cts in all Thirty seven dollars and Seventy five cents.

Peter P. Good.

city Judge.

Plainfield 21st October 1875.

Received from Peter P. Good city Judge Thirty five dollars in full of judgment of the case of Ann E. Mundy vs Martha Barnes in the above judgment.

Ann E. Mundy
 per Cha^s A. Waller Agent

Nov 18.

ap

City Court of Plainfield.

The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.

vs

In case.

Charles H. See.

Summons was issued from this Court on the 21st Oct. & returnable on the 27th Oct. 1875. at Ten o'clock. A.M.

"I served the within summons on the defendant therein named on the 21st day of Oct. 1875. by reading the same to him & delivering to him a copy thereof."

P. Brown Capt Police.

State of demand filed on the 27th Oct. 1875.

This case is adjourned to the common council room to the 4 Nov 1875. 10. A. M.

Wm B. Mason. Atty. of City. Costs \$2.50.

Sugden & Jackson Dft.

This cause coming on for a hearing on this 16 Nov. 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing the plaintiff by Wm B. Mason the corporation counsel & the defendant in person by Sugden & Jackson his atty & it being admitted in open court by the Dft. that all the allegations of the State of demand of the plaintiff herein are true, excepting that the defendant desires that he owes the sum claimed & that the plaintiff paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dft & that he ever promised to pay the said sum to the plaintiff & that the plaintiff has paid the said sum at all, & that the contract was awarded to the lowest bidder & the cause being submitted by the respective counsel & the matters herein considered by the court it is adjudged

Demand \$63- that the plaintiff has judgment against the defendant
Interest 2.83 for the sum of Sixty Three dollars & interest from 1st July
Costs 2.65 1875 at 12 per cent up to entering the judgment, amounting to Two
\$68.48 dollars & eighty three cents, & costs Two dollars & Sixty Five cents
appeal 1.50. Total amounting to \$68.48
3 fees 45 Appeal Bond filed 22nd Nov. 1875.

No. 40.

The city court of Plainfield
James O. Morse.
vs
In debt.
William J. Nelson.

Summons issued on the 7th Feb'y & returnable on the
15th Feb'y 1876. at 10. Am. Served copy of within summons
personally on 8th Feb'y 7th 1876.

P. Baum.

Adjourned until 23rd Feb'y 1876. 10 1/2 Am.

On the 23rd Feb'y 1876. appears William J. Nelson
the defendant and Sylvester Whitehead a freeholder
a citizen and a resident of the county of Union &
expressly waving the time of the return of the said
summons & acknowledging the sum of the debt
of \$63.90. with interest thereon up to the entering of
this judgment hereby confess & consent judgment
shall be rendered and entered against them the
said William J. Nelson and Sylvester Whitehead
the said Sylvester Whitehead joining in the said
judgment on consideration that no execution
shall be issued against the said William J. Nelson
until after six months from the time of
recording this judgment according to the form
of the Statute in such cases made and provided.

Damages \$63.90.

1. For interest to

23 August 1876 4.50.

Costs 3.05.

\$71.45

Judgment confessed and signed by

William James Nelson
Sylvester Whitehead

Signed in presence of
Peter P. Good.

city Judge.

This 23rd Feb'y 1876.

The above judgment was paid in full this
2nd Sept 1876 amounting to \$71.45. Sent a check for \$63.47
to V. L. Miller N. Y. city the atty in the above action.

No. 19.
 up

The city court of Plainfield.
 The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.

vs.

In case.

Ethan Loampher.

Summons was issued from this court on the 21st Oct & returnable on the 27th Oct 1875. at Ten o'clock Am.

"The said Ethan Loampher not being found, I served the within summons on Mrs Loampher on the 21st day of Oct- 1875 by leaving a copy thereof at his house or place of abode in presence of Mrs Loampher of the age of fourteen years, who was informed by me of the contents thereof."

P. Breen.

Capt. Police.

State of demand filed on the 27th Oct 1875.

This case is adjourned to the common council rooms on the 4th Nov- 1875. 10. Am.

William B. Maxson. atty of Pcty.

Suzdam & Jackson Jfr.

Venue issued on the 1st Nov- 1875. The following persons were summoned on the 3rd Nov- 1875.

Lo. L. Compton. Jasper S. Cadmus. Edward De Baur.
 Jerome Park. J. L. Bellis. Lewis Randolph

P. Breen.

Capt. Police.

Motions. Mr Sec. P. Suzdam made a motion That this court had no jurisdiction in this suit.

2nd Motion. The charter says nothing about appointment of Commissioners for making assessments on New St Sewer.

3rd Motion was asked for a new suit on the grounds the city has no authority to bring an action to recover these assessments.

Motions denied The Court denied the 1st 2nd & 3rd motions as not being proper in themselves.

Exceptions taken. Mr. Sec P. Suzdam takes exceptions to each of the rulings of the court which are noted above.

The following Juries were sworn in by the Court. viz. Lo. L. Compton. Jasper S. Cadmus. Edward De Baur Jerome Park. J. L. Bellis, Lewis Randolph.

Mr Wm B. Maxson opens the case before the Court & Jury.

Ordinance for the constructing New St. Sewer is put in evidence and read by the Corporation Counsel.

1st Witness Stephen O. Horton. Sworn, Says

He constructed New St. sewer under authority of the Common Council. I held the city for the contract did construct the sewer & it was all done by the 1st of April. I held the city for the contract. I have a judgment against the city & received a portion of the money & hold a balance against the city. The sewer empties into the pond below the Baptist Church. The city engineer was David Rogers the specification designated where the sewer was to run, that is called the New St. sewer.

Cross Ex.

My attention was called by the advertisement in the paper about constructing the sewer, seal proposals were put in for the contract. I appeared at the time with the proposals in writing in an sealed envelope. I heard a man from Elizabethtown put in a bid lower than mine. It was a week I learned they accepted my bid. I had the specifications in my bid for each specification. No longer after the bid was accepted. The amount of my bid \$39. for each of the man holes & lining basins \$110. Nine thousand dollars for the whole contract. I judgment about \$7000. \$5000 now due. I received \$3200 from the 1st assessment. I received \$2000 on the judgment, I was paid about 4 wks ago. I received \$2000 all at once. I understood the city bought the right of way to lay the sewer.

Re-direct. The amount of my bill \$9429.02. I have been paid over \$5000.

Minutes of the Common Council are offered in evidence

Re-Cross. I saw notice of the bids. I guess the bids would be received before certain hours. I don't recollect about the time. I am not positive as to the hour.

2nd Witness Sworn

Alexander Gilbert.

I cannot say as to the year I was a member of the Common Council nor the year the sewer was built, my impression ~~was~~ I was Chairman of the Sewer Comrs. When I was elected to the Common Council carried forward to July 42.

20.

The city court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.

In case.
Martin W. Thon.

Summons was issued from this court on the 21st Oct. & returnable on the 27th Oct. 1875. at 10. Am.

"The said Martin W. Thon not being found, I served the within summons on Mrs Thon on the 21st Oct 1875 by leaving a copy thereof at his house or place of abode in presence of Mrs Thon of the age of fourteen years, who was informed by me of the contents thereof."

P. Breen.

Captain Police.

State of demand filed on the 27th Oct 1875.

This case is adjourned to the common council rooms on 4th Nov. 1875. 10. Am.

Wm B. Moxam atty of Plaintiff, costs \$3.05.

Suzanna Jackson... Dfr.

Brought forward from folio 40.

I was appointed to fill a vacancy. When the New St. Sewer was passed, I had no vote or action in that matter. It is clear in my mind the Com. met & consulted about the contracts & awarded the contract to Mr. Hatten. Reported the same to Comm. Council. I cannot recollect as to the particulars.

Cross Ex.

There were three in number of the proposals. There were notices published in the papers.

Mr. Dwyer takes exception to the ordinance.

3rd Witness Sworn.

John C. Runyon. Sworn says

I am one of the editors of the Central Times. There was such a notice 27th July 3rd August 1871. reads the publication of the entire notice of the New St. Sewer 27th July 1871 3rd August 1871 contains the same. Published in Sept. 14. 1871. the ordinance of New St. Sewer. 14th March 1875. Report of the Com. of the New St. Sewer on file in the city clerk's office.

4th Witness Sworn

Nelson Runyon says he is the Clerk of the C. C. Contract Contract is introduced as evidence.

Objection taken by Mr. Dwyer to the evidence of the contract not proven.

Resolution Introduce a resolution of the C. C. October 5. 1874.

These are offered in as evidence & Nelson Runyon stated the contents of the above resolutions.

affidavits Introduction of the affidavits of the New St. Commission being sworn into office.

Report. Introduction of the Report of the New St. Com. on New St. Sewer.

5th Witness Sworn.

Lewis C. Clark. Says that he signed the report with Mr. Swalm & Lemuel W. Sewell.

Mr. Clark did not view the premises with Com. Council Brought forward to folio 44.

No 21.

The city court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.

vs

In case.

James Coles.

Summons was issued from this court on the 21st October & returnable on the 27th October 1875. at 10. A. M.

"The said James Coles not being found, I served the within Summons on Mrs Coles on the 21st day of October 1875. by leaving a copy thereof at his house or place of abode in presence of Mrs Coles of the age of fourteen years, who was informed by me of the contents thereof."

P. Brown. Cofr. Police.

State of demand filed on the 27th Oct 1875.

This case is adjourned to the common council rooms on the 2nd Nov. 1875. 10. Am.

William B. Maxson Atty of Pety.

Suzdon & Jackson " " Spt.

Sexton

This case is settled, State of demand paid with costs.

Peter P. Good.

18th Nov. 1875.

City Judge

Brought forward from folio 44.

9th Witness Sworn.

William S. says. I reside on 4th & New Sts. lived there 37 years. Mr. Lamphere lives there on Centre & 4th.

Minutes

Mr. Mason

of C. C.

Officer in evidence minutes of the Common Council on S. C. Huttons contract dated Sept 2. 1872.

Perfo. recd.

Dft open their case.

10th Witness Sworn, for the Dft.

Edgar Lamphere says I never told Mr. Marshall that I owned that property. I have an undivided interest one third interest in one piece & two thirds in another. I paid first assessment \$62.91. receipt offered in

Receipt.

evidence.

Cross Ex. I did not appear before the Com. I called at the Clerk's office to see the assessment list. I do not remember meeting the Com. at the Common Council.

11th witness sworn

John Britton Sworn.

John Britton Sworn says I reside in Eliz. I presented ~~my~~ bid to Mr. Rogers. I remember seeing Mr. Horton there. Mr. Horton did not put in his bid one hour & a half after the hour. I believe the Bondsmen were good - they were freeholders.

Cross Ex. The specifications were the terms of the contract. I never heard that any of my securities were not good until within twenty four hours. The contract was not awarded to me. I had a conversation with Mr. Marshall in P. d. Mr. Marshall based his proposition on the ground that the city had no bonds to give.

Re-direct. I was a gross inspector of Sewers in Elizabeth.

Wm. Swalm recalled.

Says the notice was published for the property owners to meet in the Common Council rooms to give their grievances. Mr. Lamphere met with us & I recollect that Mr. Lamphere was there as my mind was impressed from the remark of Mr. Lamphere stating "can water run up hill". Council Journal to folio 45.

No 23.

Op

The city court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.

vs

In case.

Terrance Horan

Summons was issued from this court on the 22nd Oct & returnable on the 28th October 1875. at 10. Am.

"I served the within Summons on the defendant therein named on the 22nd day of October 1875. by reading the same to - and delivering to him a copy thereof."

P. Breen. Capt. Police.

State of demand filed on the 28th Octo 1875.

This case is adjourned to the Common Council rooms on the 5th Novr 1875. 10. Am.

Wm B. Maxson Atty of Pet.

\$2.65

Nelson Rungon ... Dfr.

This cause coming on for hearing on this 16th day of Novr 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing the plaintiff by Wm B. Maxson the Corporation Counsel & the Dfr. in person & by Nelson Rungon his Atty & it being admitted in open court by the Dfr. that all the allegations of the state of demand of the plaintiff herein are true, excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dfr. & that he ever promised to pay the said sum to the Pet. & that the Pet. has paid the said sum at all & that the Contract was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being submitted by the respective Counsel, & the matters herein considered by the Court it is adjudged that the Pet. have judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of Fifty dollars and with interest at the rate of Twelve per cent per annum from 1st July 1875 to the day of entering judgment which amounts to Two dollars & Twenty five cents with costs Two Dollars & Sixty five cents - The Total Fifty four dollars & ninety cents.

50.00

2.25.

2.65.

\$54.90

Appeal Bond filed 3rd Dec 1875.

Brought forward from July 26.

12th Witness Sworn.

Edwin Shutevell says I met the committee. I do not recollect exactly whether Mr Leachman was there or not.

Mr Daniel J. Marshall recalled I went to Elizabeth to get Mr Bittin. I met him in the engineers rooms. He said he would like to have the job if we could raise \$1000 - he said he was in such circumstances that he could not do it.

Re-crossed - he said he could not take it unless the Council pass the resolution.

The D^{ts} ^{Council} rest. their case. Mr. Sugden addresses the Jury and Mr. Mason follows in closing.

The court charges the Jury, and the counsels for the D^t offer several propositions to the court to present to the Jury which the court saw proper not to do.

Officer Philip Baum was sworn to take charge of the Jury until they arrive at a verdict.

Jury agreed upon a verdict that the Plaintiff was entitled to the claim set forth in the state of demand Thirty two dollars and nine cents and interest at the rate of twelve per cent from 1st July 1875.

The Court asked each jurymen if this was their verdict and all acquiesced. State of demand \$32.09.4 with interest

5 days \$1.36 - cost \$7.44. Total \$40.89.

The defendant takes an appeal from this judgment 16 Nov 1875 Affidavit on appeal on Jury trial filed 16 Nov 1875

" " " Appeal Bond filed & approved 16 Nov 1875.

No 24.

The city court of Plainfield.
 The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.
 vs
 In case.
 Andrew Zeiss.

Summons was issued from this court on the 22nd Oct & returnable on the 28th October 1875. at 10. Am.

"I served the within Summons on the defendant therein named on the 22nd day of October 1875 by reading the same to and delivering to him a copy thereof.

P. Brown Capt. Police.

State of demand filed on the 28th Oct. 1875.

This case is adjourned to the common council rooms on the 5th Nov. 1875. 10. Am.

Wm B. Mason atty of Def.

Nelson Rungson Plt. \$3.05
 23rd Nov adj.

This case was settled & paid up New St. assessment.

Peter P. Good.

city Judge.

23

P

6

Re

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

... of the ...
... of the ...
... of the ...

No 25.

ap

The city court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.

vs

In case.

John B. Sumner.

Summons was issued from this court on the 22nd Oct. & returnable on the 28th Oct. 1875. at 10. Am.

"I served the within summons on the defendant therein named on the 22nd day of October 1875. by reading the same to - and delivering to him a copy thereof."

P. Breen. Capt. Police.

State of demand filed 28th Oct 1875.

This case is adjourned to the common council rooms on the 3rd Nov. 1875. 10. Am.

Wm B. Maxson. Atty of Pet.

costs \$2.65.

Nelson Runyon Dfr.

The cause coming on for hearing on this 16th day of Nov. 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing the Pet. by Wm B. Maxson the corporation counsel & the Dfr in person & by Nelson Runyon his Atty, & it being admitted in open court by the Dfr. that all the allegations of the state of demand of the Pet. herein are true, excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dfr. & that he ever promised to pay the said sum to the Pet. and that the plaintiff has paid the said sum at all, & that the contract was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being submitted by the respective counsel, & the matters herein considered by the court, it is adjudged, that the Pet. have judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of Seventy dollars, with interest thereon at 12 per cent. per annum from the 1st July 1875. up to the time of entering judgment, which amounts to Three dollars & fifteen cents, which with costs, amounting to Two dollars & Sixty five cts. The total, state of demand, with interest, & costs, amount to Seventy five dollars & Eighty cents.

Appeal Bond filed 3rd Dec 1875.

70.00

3.15.

2.65.

75.80

No 26.

ap

City Court of Plainfield
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.

vs

In case.

Jacob Leung.

16 Nov 1875. Summons issued on the 16th Nov - returnable 23rd Nov 1875.
 "Served copy of within summons personally Nov-16. 1875
 P. Green."

23 State of demand filed 23rd Nov-1875.
 Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10. Am Common Council,
 Wm B. Maxson Atty for Plaintiff.
 Sugdam & Jackson. ... Dfr.

This cause coming on for hearing on the 1st day of Dec 1875
 in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing
 the Pet. by Wm B. Maxson the Corporation Counsel & the
 defendant in person & by Sugdam & Jackson his atty, & it
 being admitted in open court by the defendant that all
 the allegations of the State of demand of the Pet. herein
 are true, excepting that the defendant denies that he owes
 the sum claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the
 special instance and request of the Dfr, & that he ever
 promised to pay the said sum to the Pet. & that the
 Pet. has paid the said sum at all, & that the contract
 was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being
 submitted by the respective Counsel, & the matters herein
 considered by the Court it is adjudged that the Pet.
 have judgment against the defendant for the sum of
 Eighteen dollars & Seventy two cents interest, at the
 rate of twelve per ct. per annum from 1st July 1875.
 And Two dollars & Sixty five cents costs
 Appeal Bond filed 1st Dec 1875.

18.00
 72
 2.55
 \$21.37

Peter P. Good. City Judge.

No. 27.
ap

city court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.

vs.

In case.

Reuben Dunn.

16 Nov. 1875. Summons issued on the 16th Nov. returnable 23rd Nov 1875. Served copy of within summons personally Nov. 16. 1875.
P. Breen.

23 State of demand filed 23rd Nov. 1875.
Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10. am. C. Council room.
William B. Maxson. Atty for Plaintiff.
Suydam & Jackson. Dft.

This cause coming on for hearing on this 1st Dec 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing the Pet. by Wm B. Maxson. the Co-operation Counsel & the Dft in person & by Suydam & Jackson his atty, & it being admitted in open court by the Dft. that all the allegations of the state of demand of the Pet. herein are true, excepting that the Dft. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dft. & that he ever promised to pay the said sum to the Pet. & that the Pet. has paid the said sum at all, & that the Contract was awarded to the lowest bidder, & the cause being submitted by the respective Counsel & the matters herein considered by the Court it is adjudged that the Pet. have judgment against the defendant for the sum of Eighteen dollars & Twenty four cents & Seventy Two cents interest at the rate of twelve per ct. per annum from the 1st July 1875. And Two dollars & Sixty five cents costs. Total Twenty one dollars & Sixty one cents.
Appeal bond filed 1st Dec 1875.

18. 24
72
2. 65
\$21. 61

Peter P. Good.

city Judge.

No 28.

cp

City Court of Plainfield.
 The Treasurer of the City of Plainfield.
 vs
 In case.
 William Sims.

16 Nov 1875. Summons issued 16th Nov - returnable 23rd Nov - 1875.
 "Served copy of within summons personally Nov 16. 1875."
 P. Breen.

23 State of demand filed 23rd Nov - 1875.
 Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10 am to C. Council room.
 William B. Maxson atty for Plaintiff.
 Luydam & Jackson Dfr.

The cause coming on for hearing on this 1st day of Dec 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment of the parties appearing the Pet. by Wm B. Maxson the Corporation Counsel of the Dfr. in person & by Luydam & Jackson his atty. & it being admitted in open Court by the Dfr. that all the allegations of the State of demand of the Pet. herein are true, excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dfr. & that he was promised to pay the said sum to the Pet. & that the Pet. has paid the said sum at all & that the contract was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being submitted by the respective Counsel & the matters herein considered by the Court, it is adjudged that the Pet. have judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of Thirty one dollars & Seventy cents & one dollar & Twenty four cents interest at the rate of twelve per ct. for annum from 1st July 1875. And Two dollars & Sixty five cents costs. Total Thirty five dollars & fifty nine cents.
 Appeal Bond filed 1 Dec 1875

31. 70.

1. 24

2. 63.

\$33. 59

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 29.

City Court of Plainfield.
 The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.
 vs.
 In Case.
 Bazilla J. Randolph.

16 Nov. 1875. Summons issued 16 Nov. 1875. returnable 23rd Nov. 1875.

"Served copy of within Summons personally Nov 16. 1875."
 P. Breen.

23 State of demand filed 23rd Nov. 1875.

Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 11 am to C. Council rooms
 Wm B. Maxson Atty for Plaintiff.
 Snyder & Jackson Dft.

Geo P. Snyder. filed a set off to the P't's claim, on listening to the argument, the court would not grant the motion for a set off on the principle that a private debt cannot be allowed as a set off to a tax or an assessment. Exceptions taken to this ruling.

The cause coming on for hearing on this 1st day of December 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing the P't by William B. Maxson the corporation counsel & the Dft in person by Snyder & Jackson his Atty & it being admitted in open court by the Dft. that all the allegations of the state of demand of the P't, herein are true, excepting that the Dft. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the P't. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dft. & that he ever promised to pay the said sum to the P't. & that the plaintiff, has paid the said sum at all & that the contract was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being submitted by the respective counsel & the matters herein considered by the court, it is adjudged that the P't. have judgment against the Dft. for the sum

38.78. of Thirty eight dollars & Seventy eight cents & one dollar & fifty
 1.32 two cents interest at the rate of twelve per ct, per annum
 2.65 from 1st July 1875. And two dollars & Sixty five cents costs.
 \$42.75 Total Forty two dollars & Ninety Three cents.

Appeal Bond filed 2nd Dec 1875.

30.
sp

City Court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.
vs
In case.

Jonathan S. Doty.

16 Nov 1875. Summons issued 16th Nov 1875. returnable 23rd Nov-1875.
" Served copy of within summons personally Nov 16-1875.
P. Beem.

23 State of demand filed 23rd Nov-1875 am
Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10^{am} to C. Council rooms.
William B. Mason Atty for Plaintiff.
Seydum & Jackson Dfr.

The cause coming on for hearing on this 1st day of Dec 1875 in presence of the adjournment & the parties appearing the plaintiff by Wm B. Mason the Defendant Counsel & the Dfr. in person & by Seydum & Jackson his Atty. & it being admitted in open Court by the Dfr. that all the allegations of the State of demand of the Pet. herein are true, excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dfr. & that he was promised to pay the said sum to the Pet. & that the plaintiff has paid the said sum at all & that the contract was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being submitted by the respective Counsel & the matters herein considered by the Court it is adjudged that the Pet. have judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of Twelve dollars & eight cents & forty eight cents interest at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum from 1st July 1875. And two dollars & sixty five cents costs. Total Fifteen dollars & ninety three cents. Appeal Bond filed 1st December 1875.

\$12.00

48

2.65

\$15.93

Peter P. Good,
City Judge.

31.

clp

The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.
vs
In case
Solomon G. Dunn.

16 Nov-1875. Summons issued 15th Nov-1875. returnable 23rd Nov-1875

" Served copy of within summons personally Nov-16, 1875.

J. Breen.

23 ..

State of demand filed 23rd Nov-1875.

Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10. Am. to C. Counsel room.

William B. Mason Atty for Plaintiff.

Snyder & Jackson Dfr.

The cause coming on for hearing on this 1st day of Dec 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing the Petf. by Wm B. Mason the Corporation Counsel & the Dfr. in person & by Snyder & Jackson his Atty. & it being admitted in open Court by the Dfr. that all the allegations of the State of demand of the Petf. herein are true, excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the Petf. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dfr. & that he ever promised to pay the said sum to the Petf. & that the Petf. has paid the said sum at all & that the contract was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being submitted by the respective Counsel & the matters herein considered by the Court it is adjudged that the Petf. have judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of Thirty five dollars & one dollar & forty cents interest at the rate of twelve per ct. per annum from 1st July 1875. and Two dollars and Sixty five cents costs. Total Thirty Nine dollars and five cents.

Appeal bond filed 1st Dec 1875.

35.00

1.40

2.85.

\$39 05

Peter P. Good. city Treasr.

32.

ap

City Court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.
vs
In case.

Thomas G. Randolph.

16 Nov 1875

Summons issued 16th Nov, returnable 23rd Nov. 1875.
"Served copy of within summons personally Nov 16. 1875."
P. Breen.

23.. ..

Stated of demand filed 23rd Nov. 1875.
Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10^{am} to C. Council rooms.
William B. Maxson Atty for Pet.
Suydam & Jackson. Dfr.

The cause coming on for hearing on the 1st Dec 1875. in
pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing
the Pet. by Wm B. Maxson, the corporation counsel &
the Dfr. in person & by Suydam & Jackson his Atty. & it
being admitted in open Court by the Dfr. that all the
allegations of the state of demand of the Pet. herein are
true excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum
claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the special
instance & request of the Dfr. & that he ever promised
to pay the said sum to the Pet. & that the Pet. has
paid the said sum at all, & that the contract was awarded
to the lowest bidder, & the cause being submitted by the
respective counsel & the matters herein considered by
the Court, it is adjudged that the Pet. have judgment
against the Dfr for the sum of Fifteen dollars & Three cents
& fifty six cents interest at the rate of Twelve per cent. per
annum from 1st July 1875. And Two dollars & Sixty five
cents costs. Total Eighteen dollars & Twenty four cents.
Appeal bond filed 1st Dec 1875.

15.03.

56

2.65

\$18.24

Peter P. Good.

city Image.

No 33.

City Court of Plainfield.
 The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield
 vs
 In case.
 Robert E. Brand.

16 Nov 1875. Summons issued 16th Nov - returnable 23rd Nov. 1875.
 " Served copy of within summons personally Nov 16. 1875.
 P. Breen.

23 State of demand filed 23rd Nov - 1875.
 Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10 Am. to C. Council rooms
 William B. Maxson Atty for Pet.
 Snyder & Jackson. " " Hfr. *

The cause coming on for hearing on the 1st Dec 1875
 in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing
 the Pet. by Mr B. Maxson. the corporation counsel & the
 Hfr. in person & by Snyder & Jackson his Atty & it being
 admitted in open Court by the Hfr. that all the allegations
 of the State of demand of the Pet. herein are true excepting
 that the Hfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that
 the Pet. paid the same at the said instance & request
 of the Hfr. & that he ever promised to pay the said sum
 to the Pet. has paid the said sum at all, & that the contract
 was awarded to the lowest bidder & the cause being submitted
 by the respective counsel of the matters herein considered
 by the Court, it is adjudged that the Pet. have judgment
 against the Hfr. for the sum of Eleven dollars & Sixty four
 cents and forty four cents interest, at the rate of Twelve per
 cent, per annum from 1st July 1875. And Two dollars &
 Sixty five cents costs. Total Twenten dollars & Seventy Three
 cents. Appeal Bond filed 2nd Dec 1875.

11.64
 2.65
 \$14.73

Peter P. Good.

city Judge.

34.

ap

City Court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.
vs
In case.

Andrew Vanderbeek.

16 Nov 1875. Summons issued 16th Nov. & returnable 23rd Nov-1875.

"Served copy of within summons personally Nov-16. 1875."

23 State of demand filed P. Breen.

23rd Nov-1875.

Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875 10. am. C. Court room.

William B. Maxson atty for Pdt.

Snyder & Jackson Dfr.

This cause coming on for hearing on this 1st Dec 1875. in
pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing, the
plaintiff by Wm B. Maxson the Corporation Counsel & the Dfr.
in person & by Snyder & Jackson his attys, & it being
admitted in open Court by the Dfr. that all the allegations
of the State of demand of the Pdt. herein are true, excepting
that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the
Pdt. paid the same at the special instance & request of the
Dfr. & that he ever promised to pay the said sum to the
Pdt. & that the Pdt. has paid the said sum at all & that
Contract was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause
being submitted by the respective counsel & the matter
herein considered by the Court it is adjudged that the
Pdt. have judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of
Twenty four dollars & ninety six cents, at the rate of
Twelve per cent. per annum from 1st July 1875. And Two dollars
& Sixty five cents costs. Total Twenty Seven dollars & Sixty
one cents. appeal bond filed 1st Dec 1875.

24.00.

2.96

2.65.

\$27.61

Peter P. Good

City Judge.

No 35.

City Court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the City of Plainfield.

vs.

In case.

Morgan Bird.

16 Nov-1875. Summons issued 16th Nov-1875 returnable 23rd Nov-1875.
"Served copy of within summons personally."

P. Breen

23 State of demand filed 23rd Nov-1875.

Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10. Am to C. Courtroom.

William B. Maxson Atty for Pet.

.. .. Jft.

No 36.

The city court of Plainfield.
 The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.
 vs
 In case.
 William Mc Cutchin

16 Nov-1875. Summons issued 16th Nov - returnable 23rd Nov-1875.
 " Served copy of within summons personally Nov 16. 1875.
 P. Breen.

23 State of demand filed 23rd Nov-1875.
 Adjourned the case 1st Dec 1875. 10. am to C. Council rooms.
 William B. Maxson atty for Pet.
 Nelson Runyon Dfr.

The cause coming on for hearing on this 1st Dec 1875:
 in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing
 the Pet. by William B. Maxson the Corporation Counsel, &
 the Dfr. in person by Lyndon & Jackson his attys. And it
 being admitted in open Court by the Dfr. that all the
 allegations of the State of demand of the Pet. herein are
 true, excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum
 claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the special
 instance & request of the Dfr. & that he ever promised to
 pay the said sum to the Pet. & that the plaintiff has
 paid the said sum at all & that the contract was
 awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being submitted
 by the respective Counsel, & the matters herein considered
 by the Court, it is adjudged that the plaintiff have
 judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of Sixty five
 dollars & Thirty cents & two dollars & Sixty cents interest, at
 the rate of twelve per cent. per annum from 1st July 1875.
 And two dollars & Sixty five cents costs. Total Seventy
 dollars & Fifty five cents. Appeal bond filed 3rd Dec 1875.

65. 30.
 2. 60
 2. 65
 70. 55

Peter P. Good.
 City Judge.

No 37.

The city court of Plainfield.
 The Treasurer of the city of Plainfield.
 vs
 In case.
 Alexander Dunn.

29th Nov. 1875. Summons issued 20th Nov. returnable 29th Nov. 1875.
 " Served personally Nov. 22nd 1875." P. Breen.
 " " State of demand filed 29th Nov. 1875.
 Adjourned the case 1st Dec 1875. 10 am to C. Council rooms.
 William B. Maxson Atty for Pet.
 Sugan & Jackson " " Dfr.

Wm B Maxson
 Sugan & Jackson

No 38.

City Court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the City of Plainfield.

ap

vs.

In case.

Eugene M. Leary.

1875.

20th NovSummons issued on the 20th Nov. returnable 28th Nov. 1875."Served personally Nov 22nd 1875." P. Beer.28th ..State of demand filed 28th Nov. 1875.Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10. Am to C. Council rooms.

William B. Maxson Atty for Pet.

Sugden & Jackson Dfr.

The cause coming on for hearing on this 1st Dec 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing the plaintiff by Wm B. Maxson the Corporation Counsel & the defendant in person & by Sugden & Jackson his Atty, & it being admitted in open Court by the Dfr. that all the allegations of the State of demand of the Pet. are true excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the Pet. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dfr & that he was promised to pay the said sum at all, & that the Contract was awarded to the lowest bidder.

And the cause being submitted by the respective Counsel & the matters herein considered by the Court it is adjudged that the Pet. have judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of Seven dollars & Twenty eight cents interest at the rate of Twelve per cent, per annum from 1st July 1875. And Two dollars & Sixty five cents costs. Total Nine dollars & Ninety Three cents. Appeal bond filed 3rd Dec 1875.

7.00
28
2.65
\$9.93

Peter P. Good.
City Judge.

No 39.

City Court of Plainfield.
The Treasurer of the City of Plainfield.

vs
Peter Hines.

1875.

20th Nov. Summons issued on the 20th Nov. returnable 29th Nov. 1875.

" Served personally, Nov. 22nd 1875. P. Bruen.

29th .. State of demand filed 29th Nov. 1875.

Adjourned the case until 1st Dec 1875. 10 am to C. Council rooms.

William B. Maxson. Atty for Def.

Kelton Ruymer. Supt. & Jackson Dfr.

The cause coming on for hearing on this 1st December 1875. in pursuance of the adjournment & the parties appearing the plaintiff by Wm B. Maxson the Corporation Counsel & the defendant in person by Supt. & Jackson his Atty & it being admitted in open Court by the defendant that all the allegations of the state of demand of the Plaintiff are true excepting that the Dfr. denies that he owes the sum claimed & that the Def. paid the same at the special instance & request of the Dfr. & that he ever promised to pay the said sum to the Def. & that the Def. has paid the said sum at all, & that the Contract was awarded to the lowest bidder. And the cause being submitted by the respective Counsel & the matters herein considered by the Court it is adjudged that the Def. have judgment against the Dfr. for the sum of Forty dollars and one dollar & sixty cents interest at the rate of Ten per cent, per annum from 1st July 1875, Total Forty four dollars & twenty five cents cost of appeal bond filed 3rd Dec 1875.

40.00.

1.60

2.65.

\$44.25

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No. 40.

The city court of Plainfield.

Julia Coffey.

vs

In debt.

Anna Freeman.

Summons issued on 26th June, Return 1st July 1876
10 am. The service was made by Officer Clark on the
26th June 1876.

State of demand filed 26th June 1876.

1st July 1876. This case came on for hearing, for the want of evidence
to substantiate the plaintiff's case the case was dismissed.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge

No 41.

The city court of Plainfield.

Christiana Beck. Pet.

vs
In debt.

John Willis Def.

Summons issued on the 30th June Petter 5th July 1876.
Service was made by Officer P. Green

State of demand filed 30th June 1876.

This case was adjourned until 12th July 1876 10. am
at Judge Good's office.

The Plaintiff appeared this 12th July 1876 at the hour
and place of adjournment, the defendant did not put
in any appearance up to the hour of hearing, the case which
was 10.35 am.

The Plaintiff was sworn who was a german lady &
also the interpreter through whom the facts were given &
on Examination by this City Mr Hatfield, the plaintiff
has proven her case.

Therefore, I give judgment for Twelve dollars, and
Two dollars and ninety five cents costs making a
total sum of Fourteen dollars and ninety five cents

Dated 12th July 1876.

Peter P. Good.

city Judge.

Execution issued this 12th July 1876. The execution
was returned by Constable Amos Moffett finding no
property whereon to levy.

No. 42.

The City Court of Plainfield.

George P. Irish

Pet.

Trespas.

vs
Rosina Schneiderman. Dft.

Summons issued on the 19th July 1896 and returnable on the 27th July 1896 at 10 am.

Summons served by Amos Moffett Constable by delivering it personally upon the defendant.

State of demand filed 27th July 1896.

The case was opened on the part of the Dft. by making a motion to dismiss the case for the Dft. that the plaintiff has no jurisdiction in the case being a Trespass for assault. The motion was granted.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 43.

The city court of Plainfield

Albert Spartz. Def.

vs

In debt on Book account.

Oliver Runyon. Plr.

Summons issued on the 24th July 1876 and made returnable on the 31st July 1876 at 10 Am.

Summons ~~received~~ served by Officer John R. Cook. 24th July 1876.

State of demand filed 24th July 1876.

Adjourned until 8th August 1876.

The case was opened by the respective Counsel Geo. P. Gaydon & Wm. D. Moxon.

Albert Spartz was sworn as to his book account which was correct.

F. B. Bachman was sworn that he purchased the account of Albert Spartz vs Oliver Runyon for Thirty Six dollars which was positively denied by Albert Spartz.

The opinion of the court was that the evidence of the sale of the account to F. B. Bachman was conclusive and that the plaintiff has no cause of action.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 44.

The City Court of Plainfield.

John F. Lee. Plaintiff.

vs

Attachment.

Julia D. Richmond. Dft.

1876.

28th Sept. Affidavit of Attachment sworn to 28th Sept. 1876.
Issued Attachment and placed the same in Constable
Moffett's hands.

29th Sept. Amos Moffett Constable & J. J. Gillis appraised the goods &
they are stored in Denham's Building on Front St.
Notices of Attachment were put up on the 29th Sept. 1876
by Amos Moffett Constable in three public places.
I put the case down for a hearing on the 21st Octo 1876.
2. P. M.

21st Octo. State of demand put on file 21st Octo 1876.

This case was opened at 2½ P. M.

The defendant was represented by Nelson Ruggow,
on motion made by the Dft's Counsel. he gave the
court a written affidavit that she was in the State
at the time the writ of attachment was issued & being
proved that she was within the jurisdiction of the
court, the motion was sustained & the writ was
quashed, and plaintiff to pay all costs of this suit.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 45.

The city court of Plainfield.

Charlotte A. A. Sizer. Petf.

vs

In debt.

Melford Cole. Dfr.

Summons issued on the 29th November 1876. Returnable
7th Dec 1876. 10. A. M.This case is discontinued by Melford Cole settling the
claim this 29th November 1876.Peter P. Good.
City Judge.

No 46.

The city court of Plainfield.

Daniel J. Smalley. Pet^r.
 vs In debt
 Andrew Onderdonk. Def^r.

28

Summons issued on the 29th Nov. 1876.
 Returnable 7th day of Dec 1876. 10. a. m.

29

10th December 1876.

This case was adjourned until 21st December 1876 10 am.

21st December 1876.

21

The plaintiff and defendant appeared at the hour
 of adjournment. The suit was settled by giving
 a new note for \$66.49 to run one month after date &
 if not paid & by an agreement between the parties if the
 note is not paid at the time it becomes due judgment is
 to be conferred by the defendant.

Peter P. Good
 City Judge.

The City Court of Plainfield.

1847.

Jacob Glaske. Pay

vs

Sigmund C. Loileustem

For debt.

Summons issued 2nd January 1877. returnable 8th January 1877.

Officer P. Breen served the summons "on the 2nd January 1877 by reading the same to him & delivering to him a copy thereof,

P. Breen Dep Constable.

8th Jan 1877. The plaintiff discontinued the above suit.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No. 48.

The City Court of Plainfield.

Henry Welsh.

Plff.

Levi Hatfield.

In debt.

Def.

Summons issued on the 18th January and returnable on the 24th January 1877.

Union County Jd. I served the within summons on the defendant therein named on the 18th day of January 1877. by reading the same to him a copy thereof

P. Green

Capt Police.

24th

January 1877.

On the return day of the summons, The court came from the Plff's atty to adjourn the case until 31st Jan'y 1877. There being no objection by the Plff's atty, the case was adjourned for one week, 31st Jan'y 1877. 10 1/2 am.

31st January 1877.

Set off filed

10. 1/2 am.

The plaintiff not putting in an appearance nor giving his statement of demand, the defendant commenced to prove his case, set off by his witness, ^{John M. Hether} a clerk in the Plff's store. The court gives judgment for the amount of the set off filed by the Def for one dollar and fifty five cents & Three dollars and seventy five cents costs, The defendant demands an execution to be issued forthwith which is granted

Execution issued this 31st Jan'y 1877.

Demand \$1.55.

Costs 3.75.

Fees on Ex. 79.

\$6.09.

The Plaintiff settled this case in full by paying Six dollars & Nine cts this 31st January 1877.

Peter P. Good,

City Judge.

No 49.

The city court of Plainfield.

Reimer W. Mahoffe.

vs

In debt.

John R. Clark.

Summons issued on the 19th day of February & returnable on Tuesday the 27th Feb'y 1877. at the hour of 10 o'clock in the forenoon.

Union County Js. I served the within summons on the defendant therein named on the 19th day of Feb'y 1877. delivering to him a copy thereof he accepted the service without reading.

A. Moffatt. Constable.

27th Feb'y 1877. The case was adjourned to the 6th March 1877 10. Am.

6th March 1877. The Pet's atty appeared at the hour of adjournment and asked for a discontinuance of the case as the matter was settled.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 50.

The City Court of Plainfield.

Patrick J. Flanagan

In debt.

Joseph Prindle.

Summons issued 30th March 1877. & returnable 5th April 1877
10 am.

Union County Jo.

I served the within Summons on the defendant therein named on the 30th day of March 1877 by reading the same to him and delivering to him a copy thereof.

Peter S. Tenbroeck. Constable.

State of demand filed 5th April 1877.1st adjournment made to the 12th April 1877. 10 am.2nd 19th19th April 1877.

The case was moved for trial on the part of Geo P. Engdram counsel for Petf. A motion was made by Wm B. Maxson to non-suit the Petf's State of demand & action which the Court did not grant.

There were four witnesses sworn in the case, one for the Petf & three for the defendant. Patrick J. Flanagan was sworn on the part of the Petf. Joseph Prindle Lewis C. Clark & Nelson Perryson for the Dft.

The plaintiff filed his State of demand on account stated.

On the evidence of the case, it was conclusive there was no proof of any kind where the respective parties to the action ever had any settlement & therefore the plaintiff failed to prove his State of demand, upon these facts and evidence of the defendant I shall give a judgment in favor of the defendant & that the plaintiff has no cause of action and to pay the costs which amount to Three dollars and five cents.

The counsel for the Petf made a motion to withdraw the suit in the midst of the trial after the verdict had been entered into, the court refused the motion. The counsel wished the Court to make note of this motion.

Peter P. Good city Judge.

No 51.

The city court of Plainfield.

Ephraim W. South.

In attachment.

James A. Stuttervant.

18th April 1877. Affidavit of attachment sworn to by Ephraim W. South.Inventory and apprehension made by Peter S. Ten Broeck constable, and Theodore J. Gillies appraiser, filed 18th April 1877.11th May 1877.

The twenty days having expired of the attachment notice being posted up, and the defendant not putting in any appearance, the plaintiff appears and proves his claim by affirmation. I therefore give judgment in favor of the plaintiff for thirty six dollars, and sundries and forty cents costs.

Execution is this day issued against the defendant and the writ is placed in the hands of Peter S. Ten Broeck.

The defendant paid the claim and all costs in this action, & dismissed the attachment.

2.50. J.

3.08. Cons

50. Gillies

Peter D. Good.

City Judge.

No 52.

The City Court of Plainfield.

Exp. Wm. South. Pet.
vs
Philander W. Stebbins Hjt. In debt.

Summons issued this 18th day of April 1877 & returnable
25th day of April 1877. Stated of demand filed 18th day
of April 1877.

Union County Js.

I served the within summons on the defendant
therein named on 19th day of April 1877. by reading the
same to him and delivering to him a copy thereof.

Peter S. Ten Broeck, Constable.

25th April 1877.

The plaintiff appeared on the day and hour of the return
of the summons. The defendant did not appear.

The plaintiff produced his books and proved his account,
the amount of his claim that he proved by his book was
eighty dollars, & the interest eighteen dollars & twenty cents
I give judgment in favor of the plaintiff for ninety eight
dollars and twenty cents (\$98.20) and two dollars &
fifty five cents costs.

Claim \$98.20.

Costs 2.55
\$100.75

Peter P. Good,
City Judge.

1st May 1877. Execution issued and placed in the hands of
Peter S. Ten Broeck, Constable.

11th May 1877. I return the within Execution to the City Judge as
no property was found wherein to levy to make the debt
or costs or any part thereof.

May 11th 1877

Peter S. Ten Broeck.

Constable.

No 53.

The city court of Plainfield.

The Plainfield Gas Light Co.

In Attachment.

James H. Stutemant.

18th April 1877. Affidavit of attachment sworn to by Peter Brunson this 18th day of April 1877.
Inventory and appraisement by Peter J Ten Brook constable and Theo J. Gillies appraiser filed 18th April 1877.

18th May 1877.

Peter Brunson being duly sworn on his oath saith that he is Secretary and Treasurer of the Plainfield Gas Light Company that the defendant owes the Plainfield Gas Light Company eight dollars and thirty one cents.

I give judgment to the Pet^r eight dollars and thirty one cents and four dollars and thirty seven cents costs.

Execution issued and placed in the hands of Constable Peter J Ten Brook this 18th day of May 1877.

The defendant paid the claim and all costs in this action and the matter is now settled.

2.50

Two

2.20

6

24

"

50

79.5

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

54.

The City Court of Plainfield.

Ann Seymour.

In debt.

Margaret Shughrassy.

Summons issued this 19th day of April 1877 &
returnable on the 25th day of April 1877.

Union County Js.

I served the within Summons on
the defendant therein named on the 19th day of April 1877
by reading the same to her and delivering to her a copy
thereof.

Peter V. Ten Brook. Constable.

1877.

25th April

The Plaintiff and Defendant appeared in the case.

The proof was conclusive that the defendant owes the
Plaintiff fifty cents. I therefore give judgment to the plaintiff
for fifty cents and to pay the costs Three dollars & twenty cents.

Peter P. Food.

City Judge.

The City Court of Plainfield.

James Bosway.

vs

In debt.

Lillie Murphy.

Summons issued this 27th day of April 1877, returnable on the 3rd day of May 1877.

Union County Js.

I served the within Summons on the defendant therein named on the 27th day of April 1877 by reading the same to her and delivering to her a copy thereof.

Peter S. Ten Brook. Court Clerk.

State of demand filed 27th day of April 1877.Set off filed 12th May 1877.Adjourned to 17th May 1877.Adjourned to 2nd June 1877.

This case was tried on the 2nd June 1877. The interested parties to the suit were represented by attys. After listening to the testimony in the case, I came to the conclusion, there was no cause of action and therefore dismissed the suit.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 56.

The city court of Plainfield.

Daniel J. Marshall.

vs

In debt.

Enos W. Titworth.

Summons issued 2nd day of May 1877 and returnable 9th day of May 1877 at 10. am.

Union County Js.

I served the within summons on the defendant therein named on the 2nd day of May 1877 by reading the same to him and delivering to him a copy thereof.

Peter J. Ten Berch. Constable.

State of demand filed this 2nd day of May 1877.

This case was settled by the Dft. by paying the claim of the Pety & costs.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

Plainfield N. J.

1st June 1877.

Received from Peter P. Good City Judge Eleven dollars and forty five cents money due Daniel J. Marshall from Enos W. Titworth balance due on a certain promissory note given by the Dft in the above named case of action. Received payment by check.

D. J. Marshall

No 57.

The City Court of Plainfield.

Patrick Del. Pet.

vs.

The debt.

Michael Fee. Vt.

Summons issued 28th May 1877 and returnable 5th day of June 1877. 10 am.

Union County. Js.

I served the within Summons on the defendant therein named on the 28th day of May 1877 by reading the same to him and delivering to him a copy thereof.

State of demand filed 31st May 1877.

1st adjournment to the 12th June 1877.

The plaintiff is barred by the statute of limitation and cannot recover.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 58.

The City Court of Plainfield.

Jacob J. Adams. Pet.
vs
Joseph R. France. Dft.

In debt.

Summons issued 22nd June 1877. and returnable on
the 28th June 1877. at 10 Am.

Union County Js.

I served the within Summons on
the defendant therein named on the 22nd day of June 1877
by reading the same to him and delivering to him a copy
thereof. Peter V. Ten Brook, Constable.

28th June 1877. 10. Am.

State of demand filed.

The defendant asked for an adjournment for two weeks
which the court granted until 12th July 1877 3. P. M.

No 59.

The City Court of Plainfield.

John H. Van Winkle.

William D. Maxson

Partners in profession under

the firm name & style

Van Winkle & Maxson.

vs

In debt.

Isaac Brokaw.

Summons issued 28th July 1877 returnable 3rd August 1877.State of demand filed 28th day of July 1877.

Union County Js.

I served the within Summons on the defendant therein named on the 28th day of July 1877, by reading the same to him and delivering to him a copy thereof.

Peter V. Ten Brook, Constable.

3rd August 1877.

John H. Van Winkle one of the above named plaintiffs appeared at the hour 10.30 Am. The defendant did not put in an appearance to the cause.

The claim of the plaintiff was proved and the court renders a Judgment against the defendant for the sum of Thirty one dollars and Seventy five cents and Two dollars and ninety cents int. Total Thirty four dollars and Sixty five cents.

Execution is issued and placed in officer Ten Brook's hands.

"I return the within execution to the city Judge as being fully satisfied the amount of debt and costs having been paid to me. Dated August 27, 1877.

Peter V. Ten Brook, Corcor.

Plainfield N. J. August 1877.

Received from Peter V. Ten Brook Thirty one dollars & Seventy five cents in full on the above judgment.

Van Winkle & Maxson

Rec^d Aug. 27 /77. from P. V. Ten Brook.

Ten

No 60. The City Court of Plainfield.

Ephraim Lomphar. Pet.

vs

Peter Hayes. Def.

Summons issued on the 2nd August & returnable on the 9th day of August 1877. 10 am.

Union County J.

I served the within summons on the defendant therein named on the 2nd day of August 1877 by reading to him and delivering to him a copy thereof.

S. M. Thompson.

Officer.

2nd August 1877.

The case was settled by the defendant by paying the claim one dollar and all costs.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 61.

The City Court of Plainfield.

Ephraim W. South. Pet.

vs

In debt.

Henry L. Mullison. Def.

Summons issued 4th day of August 1877 returnable
10th day of August 1877. 10. am.

Union County Js.

I served the within Summons on the
defendant therein named 4th day of August 1877 by reading
to him and delivering to him a copy thereof

Peter S. Van Brock.

Constable.

State of demand filed

10th August 1877.

The defendant appearing in open Court, this action is
entered by virtue of the act, entitled "An act to prevent
unnecessary costs in collecting debts", on motion of the
defendant appearance is entered and accepted; & thereupon
the defendant confers judgment for the sum Twenty
dollars and forty two cents debt and costs to be taxed.
Therefore it is considered that the said Ephraim W. South
do recover against Henry L. Mullison his said debt
of Twenty dollars and forty two cents conferred as aforesaid
also Two dollars and thirty five cents his costs &
charges by the Court now here adjudged to the said
Ephraim W. South of income & with his assent according
to form of the Statute in such case made & provided
and the said Henry L. Mullison in money, &c.

Henry L. Mullison

John H. Dunning. Prof. E. W.
 In debt.

Michael Whal.

William J. Nelson. D.D.

Summons issued 4th August returnable 14th August
1897. 10 am.

Union County, Ga.

I served the within Summons on the
defendant therein named (Wm. J. Nelson) on the 6th
day of August 1887. By reading the same to him &
delivering to him a copy thereof.

Peter H^g Jan Broek.

Union County Ia.

Constable.

I served the within summons on the defendant (Michael Whelan) therein named on the 9th day of August 1997 by reading the same to him & delivering to him a copy thereof.

Peter S. Ten Broeck.

Constantine.

14th August 1877. Mr Smith the atty for Michael Walsh
asked for an agreement for two weeks which the court
granted.

Adjourned until 28th August 1897. 10 am
The Petz appearing on this 28th August 1897 at 10 am & the
Dfr. putting in no appearance. The Petz puts in evidence
two checks one for Ten dollars & the other for Twenty five dollars
both dated on June 24, 1897 together with the costs of the two
protects amounting to Two dollars & Sixty eight cents.

I give judgment ^{against} Michael Whal & Wm J. Nelson
for thirty ^{five} ~~six~~ dollars and ^{Twenty} ~~thirty~~ eight cents and
four dollars and Twenty five cents costs in all Forty ~~two~~ ^{three}
dollars and ^{five} ~~thirty~~ Three cents.

Peter P. Good. City Manager.

Execution issued 4th Dec 1897.

[illegible][illegible]
$$\begin{array}{r} 39.28 \\ + 2.25 \\ \hline 41.53 \end{array}$$

No 63.

The city court of Plainfield.

John H. Dunning. Pet.
vs
Indebtr.John V. Bainbridge
William J. Nelson. Dfts.Summons issued as to John V. Bainbridge 24th August 1877
returnable 14th August 1877. 10 am.

Wormin County Js.

I served the within summons on the
defendant therein named (John V. Bainbridge) on the
8th day of August 1877 by reading ~~the~~ the same to him
and delivering to him a copy thereof.

Peter P. Van Brock. Constable.

Summons issued as to William J. Nelson 10th day of
August 1877 returnable 17th day of August 1877. 10 am.
Wormin County Js.I served the within summons on the
defendant therein named on the 10th day of August 1877
by reading the same to him and delivering to him a copy
thereof.

Peter P. Van Brock. Constable.

Adjourned until 24th August 1877. Adjourned again until
27th August 1877.The respective parties appeared in this case. The Pet. put
in evidence a certain promissory note for thirty five dollars
made by Wm J. Nelson on June 27. 1877 & endorsed by
J. V. Bainbridge. Wm J. Nelson one of the Dfts had no
plea to make and admitted the claim.I give judgment against William J. Nelson &
John V. Bainbridge for thirty seven dollars & ninety two
cents and four dollars and twenty five cents costs in all
Total two dollars & seventeen cents.Execution issued 17 Sept. 1877. Peter P. Good.
City Judge.37.92.
24.25
\$42.17

The city court Plainfield.

John B. Brown.

vs
William Brower.

Summons issued 27th August 1877. returnable
2nd August 1877 4th Sept 1877.

Union County Js.

I served the within Summons on
the defendant therein named on the 29th day of August
1877 by reading the same to him and delivering to him
a copy thereof

Peter J. Van Brock. Constable.

Plainfield N. J.

30th August 1877.

Received from Peter P. Good City Bridge fifteen dollars
and seventy five cents in full in the above case of
action by the plaintiff John B. Brown

No 55.

The city court of Plainfield.

Jeremiah Colbrecht.

vs

Landlord & Tenant.

William Minor.

Summons was issued on 27th August 1877 & made returnable 1st day of Sept - 1877.

The said William Minor not being found I served the within summons August 29 1877 at his residence by informing the wife of William Minor of the contents thereof and leaving a true copy with her for the said William Minor.

Peter J. Ten Brook.

Constable.

Notice to Dist filed 24th August 1877. Affidavit filed 24 August 1877.
Distress Warrant issued 23rd August 1877.

Union County Js.

I hereby return this warrant finding no goods or chattels to make debt or costs or any part thereof August 23rd 1877. Peter J. Ten Brook. Constable.

1st day of Sept 1877.

The Plaintiff Jeremiah Colbrecht the claimant appeared.

The Def William Minor did not put in appearance.

I give judgment in favor of the claimant for six dollars & seventeen cents and Three dollars and Thirty five cents costs. And also order the Tenant to vacate the premises upon which order I now issue a warrant for the same. The tenant removed before warrant was issued & case now settled.

Peter P. Ford.

City Judge.

The city court of Plainfield.

66.

Levi C. Backer. Pet.

v

Wesley Adams. Def.

Summons issued 11th day of October 1877. returnable
17th Oct. 1877.

Union County Js.

I served the within Summons on the defendant
therein named on the 12th day of October 1877 by
reading the same to him & delivering to him a
copy thereof.

Peter P. Ten Broeck Constable

\$33.59.

The above cause was settled by Wesley Adams this
11th December 1877. by paying the full amount of
the claim Thirty Three dollars and fifty nine cents.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

Plainfield N. J.

28th Dec 1877.

Received from Peter P. Good City Judge Thirty Three
dollars & fifty nine cents in full for the above
said L. C. Backer

67.

The city court of Plainfield.

John F. Mc Intyre . . . Pet.
vs . . . The debt.
Letitia Ida Jones . . . Def.

Summons issued 27th November 1877 returnable on
the 5th December 1877.

Union County Js.

I served the within summons
on the defendant therein named on the 28th day of
November 1877. by reading the same to her & delivering to
her a copy thereof.

Peter J. Ten Brook.

Constable.

December 5, 1877. 11 am

The plaintiff John F. Mc Intyre appearing in person &
swearing to his state of demand & the defendant Letitia Ida
Jones not putting in any appearance, I give judgment
in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant in the
sum of Fifty four dollars and ninety two cents and
Three dollars costs. Execution is issued for the same.

Demand \$4.92
Costs

\$57.92.

68.

The City Court of Plainfield.

Charles A. Coriell.

Plt.

vs

In debt.

Ernest W. Ditworth.

Joseph N. Richardson.

Dfts.

Summons issued 4th December 1877, returnable
19th December 1877.

Union County, Js.

I served the within Summons on the
defendants therein named on the 10th day of
December 1877 by reading the same to them & delivering
to each of them a copy thereof.

Peter S. Ten Bruck.

Constable

State of demand filed 19th December 1877.Case adjourned to 3rd January 1878. 10 am.

This suit was withdrawn by Charles A. Coriell
the Plaintiff this 28th December 1877.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 69.

The city court of Plainfield.

John M. Scholt. Pet.

vs.

In debt.

Christopher Becker. Dft.

Summons issued 21st January 1878, returnable 29th January 1878.

State of demand filed 21st January 1878.

Union County Js.

"I served the within Summons on the defendant therein named on the 21st day of January 1878 by reading the same to him and delivering to him a copy thereof."

Peter V. Ten Brock. Constable.

29th Jan'y 1878

The plaintiff and defendants Counsel were present.

The Dft's counsel asked for an adjournment which was granted until 3rd Feb'y 1878.

1st Feb'y 1878.

The plaintiff withdrew his suit against the Dft.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

No 70.

The city court of Plainfield.

Alex M. Runyon. Plt.

vs.

In debt.

Richard Randolph. Dft.

Summons issued 21st January, 1878 returnable 29th Jan'y 1878

Union County Js.

I served the within Summons on the defendant therein named on the 23rd day of January 1878 by reading the same to him and delivering to him a copy thereof.

Peter J Ten Broek.

Constable.

State of demand filed 21st January 1878.

No 71.

The city court of Plainfield.

Michael Keller. Pet. vs. In attachment.
 Henry Keller. Dfr.

Affidavit sworn out for an attachment by Michael Keller
 & filed 20th day of Sept. 1878.
 Attachment issued 20th Sept. 1878.

2nd October 1878. This case was withdrawn on account of
 its being settled.

Peter P. Good.

City Judge.

The City Court of Plainfield.

72. George Green. P^l_{ty}
 vs. Landlord & Tenant
 John Burworth. D^f.

Notices were served upon the defendant John Burworth by Edward Leary 24th Dec 1878.

Affidavit sworn to by George Green to remove Tenant for non payment of rent & no goods by distress could be taken for satisfaction of the same.

1878
 Dec 28th Summons was issued to show cause why possession of said premises should not be delivered to George Green by G. W. More. Constable. "I served the within Summons on the defendant Dec 28th 1878 John Burworth, by reading the same to his wife & giving her a true copy"

G. W. More. Constable.

Summons was made returnable 3rd January 1879 at 10 o'clock Am.

3rd Jan'y 1879. George Green the P^l_{ty} & the defendant appeared at the appointed hour.

George ^{Green} proved to the Court that the defendant John Burworth owed him sixteen dollars for rent. The defendant not denying the charge the Court gives judgment in favor of the P^l_{ty} George Green & against John Burworth the D^f the sum of sixteen dollars & costs & orders a Warrant of removal for John Burworth from the premises occupied by him.

Warrant of removal is issued & placed in the officers hands for execution.

The City Court of Plainfield.

No 73.

George Green. Pet.

vs.

Landlord & Tenant.

Luke Lyons. Def.

Notices were served upon the defendant Luke Lyons by Edward Leary 22nd Dec 1878.

affidavit sworn to by George Green to remove Tenant for non payment of rent & no goods by distress could be taken for satisfaction of the same.

1878.

Dec 28.

Summons was issued to show cause why possession of said premises should not be delivered to George Green by G. W. Moore Constable. "I served the within summons on the defendant Luke Lyons Dec 28th 1878. by reading it to him & giving him a copy of the same."

G. W. Moore, Constable.

Summons was made returnable 3rd January 1879. at 10 o'clock Am.

3rd Jan'y 1879.

George Green the Pet. & the defendant Luke Lyons were present at the hour appointed in the summons.

George Green being duly sworn on his oath saith that Luke Lyons owes him eight dollars & twenty five cents for rent.

Luke Lyons the defendant had nothing to say in his defense.

The court gives judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against Luke Lyons the defendant for Eight dollars & twenty five cents & also give an order of removal of Luke Lyons from the Pet's premises. Warrant of removal is issued & placed in the officers hand for execution.

The City Court of Plainfield.

No 74.

George Green. Plt^y.vs
Landlord & Tenant.~~John~~ ~~Lyon~~

Wm Jordan Dft.

Notice was served upon the defendant to William Jordan to quit or show cause by Charles W. Dodd 28th Dec 1878.

affidavit sworn to by George Green to remove Tenant for non payment of rent & no goods by distress could be taken for Satisfaction of the same.

Summons was issued to show cause why possession of said premises should not be delivered to George Green by Geo W. Moore constable.

I served the within summons on the defendant William Jordan Dec 30th 1878 by reading it to him & giving him a copy of the same.

George W. Moore.

Constable

The summons made returnable Sixth day of January 1878 at the hour of 2. P. M.





